

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/776,334 | 02/10/2004 | Harald Gundlach | 20046/0200784-US0 | 1721 | |
| 7278 7 | 590 09/21/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| DARBY & DARBY P.C. | | | FRECH, | FRECH, KARL D | |
| | | | APTIBIT | PAPED NUMBER | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 10150-5257 | | L | TALEK NOMBER | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | KARL D PAPER NUM | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/776,334 | GUNDLACH, HARA | LD | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Karl D Frech | 2876 | Br | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence add | ress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this com IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicatio | n. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ction is required if the drawing(s) | is objected to. See 37 CFF | R 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | Examiner. Note the attached C | Office Action or form PTC | D-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | ate have been received | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bure | · | | 90 | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | et of the certified copies not re | ceived. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Sun | nmary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/N | Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/10/04. | 5) | mal Patent Application (PTO- | 152) | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |
| PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A | Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail D | ate 091904 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/776,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lasch et al 6,581,839. Lasch discloses a smart card with a chip 20 which may be connected to a phototransistor or a CCD (col 10 lines 54+), i.e. visible radiation detector. Electrically conductive connectors are inherent. The card may consist of at least regions of optically transparent, transmissive or opaque materials (col 6 lines 49+) and may also consist of polyethylene adhesives (col 8 lines 7+). There is disclosed a display device in the hologram.
- 3. Claims 1,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kohama et al 6,412,701. Kohama discloses a smart card with a chip, a radiation detector in form of an antenna and appropriate electrical connectors all on a substrate.

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876
